# Towards a national Land Cover mapping service using Data Cube & Machine Learning Dr. Gregory Giuliani

enève



### Land Cover in Switzerland

- In Switzerland, official LC data (*"Arealstatistik"*) are generated from visual interpretation of aerial photos. These maps are obtained by visually interpreting and assigning a LC as well as a LU category of the lower-left corner of each sample point from a regular 100m grid cell corresponding to more than 4 million points over the country, following three nomenclatures: standard (72 categories); land cover (27), and land use (46) over four-time periods (1979/85, 1992/97, 2004/09, 2013/18).
- This dataset is thematically more precise than commonly used classification. However, it suffers from a limited spatial (100m) and temporal resolution (6 years) **impending to correctly capture detailed landscape features, qualities, particularities, configurations as well as rapid changes**.



#### Arealstatistik Land Cover (NOLC04)

Principal domains	Basic categories					
10 – Artificial areas	15 - Lawns					
	16 - Trees in artificial areas					
20 – Grass and herb vegetation	21 – Gras and herb vegetation					
	31 - Shrubs 32 – Brush meadows					
30 – Brush vegetation	33 – Short-stem fruit trees					
	34 - Vines					
	35 - Permanent garden plants and brush crops					
	41 – Closed forest					
	42 – Forest edges					
	43 – Forest strips					
40 – Tree vegetation	44 – Open forest					
	45 – Brush forest					
	46 – Linear woods					
	47 – Cluster of trees					
	51 – Solid rock					
50 – Bare land	52 – Granular soil					
	53 – Rocky areas					
	61- Water					
60 Matami areas	62 – Glacier, perpetual snow					
00 – Walery areas	63 – Wetlands					
	64 – Reedy marshes					







#### Land Cover Change in Switzerland

- Switzerland has undergone small, spatially dispersed, dynamic, and gradual change trends, with high rates of transition between low growing Brush Vegetation and forest LC classes in recent years.
  - However, findings also suggest that identifying drivers and understanding the rate of change are limited by the spatial resolution and temporal update frequency of the ArealStatistik. The ability to understand these drivers would benefit from a high-resolution annual LC dataset.
- Such a data product can be produced using the ArealStatistik together with dense satellite data time-series and Machine/Deep Learning techniques.



NICHOLSON THOMAS, Isabel Mary, GIULIANI, Gregory. Exploring Switzerland's Land Cover Change Dynamics Using a National Statistical Survey. In: Land, 2023, vol. 12, p. 1–20. doi: 10.3390/land12071386

### **Objectives**

Combine **Data science techniques** (e.g., EO Data Cube, Machine Learning algorithms, and High-Performance Computing) to **develop new methodologies for the production of consistent and reliable yearly, medium-to-high resolution (spatial, temporal, thematic) time-series of LC data** across Switzerland to inform national environmental/territorial policies and planning.



GIULIANI, Gregory et al. Downscaling Switzerland Land Use/Land Cover Data Using Nearest Neighbors and an Expert System. In: Land, 2022, vol. 11, n° 5, p. 615. doi: 10.3390/land11050615

## Space-first vs Time-first approach

- Current approaches for classifying images do not consider intra-annual variability.
- Space-first: classify images separately. Compare results in time and derive a transition matrix. Uses temporal aggregation (e.g. annual) to reduce the volume of image collections and overcome data gaps (e.g. clouds)
- With dense time-series available in EODC > fully benefit from the temporal resolution to capture changes.
- Time-first: classify time series separately. Join results to get maps
- Hypothesis: LC classes of interest are distinguishable partly because of their temporal characteristics
- All values of the time series are inputs for classification methods to label individual pixels.
- Each spatial location is associated with a time series.
- Better suited to track changes continuously.



Camara, Gilberto, et al. "Big earth observation data analytics: Matching requirements to system architectures." Proceedings of the 5th ACM SIGSPATIAL international workshop on analytics for big geospatial data. 2016.

Data Cubes

#### Study area





#### **SITS - Satellite Image Time Series Analysis for EODC**

The R package Satellite Image Time Series Analysis for Earth Observation Data Cubes (SITS - <u>https://github.com/e-sensing/sits</u>) provides the necessary capabilities to work with big satellite image data sets and to fully support all steps of land use and land cover classification workflow: sampling selection, time series clustering, machine learning model training and validation, classification, and maps post-processing.



Simoes, R.; Camara, G.; Queiroz, G.; Souza, F.; Andrade, P.R.; Santos, L.; Carvalho, A.; Ferreira, K. Satellite Image Time Series Analysis for Big Earth Observation Data. Remote Sens. 2021, 13, 2428.



#### Results

- The workflow has been tested over the 46.8°N -Lake Léman region to classify one year (2018) of Sentinel-2 images (113 images).
- 410'000 samples from the Arealstatistik (287'000 for training (70%); 123'000 for validation (30%)).
- Random Forest (RF); Temporal Convolutional Neural Network (tempCNN); Lightweight Temporal Self-Attention Encoder (LTAE)



#### **Time-series patterns**



#### Improving the quality of training samples

(1) Quality assessment with Self Organizing Maps (SOM)

- (2) Noisy samples detection
- (3) Imbalance reduction





#### **Probabilities**









#### **Cross-validation of training dataset**

> estimate the inherent prediction error of a model.

> measure of model performance on the training data and not an estimate of overall map accuracy

> k-fold validation method

	RF	LTAE	tempCNN		
Accuracy	0.9849	0.9703	0.9794		
95% CI	(0.982, 0.9874)	(0.9664, 0.9739)	(0.9761, 0.9823)		
Карра	0.9817	0.964	0.975		

#### Models have similar performance on training data

#### Uncertainty



#### **DL** models have less uncertainty

### Accuracy

	F	RF	LTAE		LTAE (tuned)		tempCNN		tempCNN (tuned)	
Overall	0.85		0.83		0.86		0.86		0.90	
	UA	PA	UA	PA	UA	PA	UA	PA	UA	PA
Artificial areas	0.67	0.63	0.75	0.54	0.69	0.65	0.69	0.65	0.75	0.67
Bare land	0.65	0.38	0.57	0.40	0.64	0.41	0.64	0.41	0.72	0.49
Brush vegetation	0.68	0.11	0.38	0.23	0.68	0.15	0.68	0.15	0.71	0.19
Grass and herb vegetation	0.87	0.87	0.86	0.83	0.88	0.89	0.88	0.89	0.91	0.89
Tree vegetation	0.85	0.95	0.82	0.95	0.86	0.95	0.86	0.95	0.87	0.97
Watery areas	0.99	0.90	0.99	0.89	0.99	0.90	0.99	0.90	0.99	0.90

#### RF (space-first; median): 0.82

#### Initial conclusions

- time-first approach is performing better than space-first approach!
- tempCNN (tuned) appears to perform better than RF and LTAE
- DL methods (tempCNN; LTAE) have lower uncertainties than ML methods (RF)
- Good samples are essential!
- Hyperparameters tunning is essential too!
- Higher spatial resolution; detect more subtle details
- High accuracy (both overall and by class)
- Improved georeferencing

Such approach is complementary to the official national statistics

## Next steps

- Sampling strategy/representativity of samples
- Samples filtering and clustering;
- Add contextual data: DEM
- Add new indices: ARI (grassland/shrubland)
- Compute surface comparison between ArealStatistik & LC product
- 27 classes
- Land Use [NOLU04 4/10/46 categories]
- Standard Nomenclature [NOAS04 4/17/27/72]
- Landsat
- Produce the national map time-series





gregory.giuliani@unige.ch gregory.giuliani@unepgrid.ch <u>http://www.unige.ch/envirospace/people/giuliani/</u>

